

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE 07/22/91 NLLEGEN 07/734,188 LNE-YZARI SAMINER OLE K. NILSSEN CAESAR DR. ART UNIT 2502 PAPER NUMBER BARRINGTON, IL 60010 DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

<b>)</b>	his a	oplication has been examined $\mathbb{Z}$ Responsive to communication filled on $2-28-92$ $\mathbb{Z}$ This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire		
Part I		THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:
		Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.  Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.  2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948.  Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152.  6.     Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152.
Part i	ı	SUMMARY OF ACTION
1.	风	Claims are pending in the application.
		Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration.
2.		Claims have been cancelled.
3.	X	Claims 15-19 are allowed.
4.	×	Claims  are rejected.
5.		Claims are objected to.
6.		Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7.		This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
8.		Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9.		The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).
10.		The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been _ approved by the examiner disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11.		The proposed drawing correction, filled on, has been approved. disapproved (see explanation).
12.		Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has 🔲 been received 🔲 not been received
		been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on;
13.		Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
14.		Other

Serial No. 734188

Art Unit 2502

The drawings are objected to because numeral reference 22 is no shown for the knob in Fig 1 as it is indicated in the specification. Correction is required.

Claims 8-20 have been renumbered as claims 7-19 in order to meet Rule 126 requirements.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Sridharan et al. for reasons as stated in the office action of 1-23-92.

Serial No. 734)88° Art Unit 252

Applicant's arguments filed 2-28-92 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant argues that claim 8 (now renumbered as claim 7) includes a leakage transformer. The ballast circuit enclosure of Sridharan et al consists of first and second transformer sections including recesses in the floor panel within which are accommodated coils of transformers. This would be just the matter of design consideration to have leakage transformers in place of the two transformers of Sridharan et al.

With respect to claim 5, applicants argues that the main plane of the ferro-magnetic core is substantially perpendicular to the plane of the bottom wall but at the same time being perpendicular to the long axis. The position of the transformers with their main plane parallel or perpendicular to the long axis is just the matter of design consideration.

Claims 15-19 are allowable.

Serial No. 73:41.88

Art Unit 252 -4-

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALI NEYZARI whose telephone number is (703) 308-4906.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1615.

A. Neyzari

5-12-92

RY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP ART UNIT 252